

22104203D

SENATE BILL NO. 688

Offered January 20, 2022

A BILL to amend and reenact § 19.2-169.1 of the Code of Virginia, relating to determination of competency; report to Commissioner of Behavioral Health and Developmental Services.

Patron—Mason

Referred to Committee on the Judiciary

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of Virginia:

1. That § 19.2-169.1 of the Code of Virginia is amended and reenacted as follows:

§ 19.2-169.1. Raising question of competency to stand trial or plead; evaluation and determination of competency.

A. Raising competency issue; appointment of evaluators. — If, at any time after the attorney for the defendant has been retained or appointed and before the end of trial, the court finds, upon hearing evidence or representations of counsel for the defendant or the attorney for the Commonwealth, that there is probable cause to believe that the defendant, whether a juvenile transferred pursuant to § 16.1-269.1 or adult, lacks substantial capacity to understand the proceedings against him or to assist his attorney in his own defense, the court shall order that a competency evaluation be performed by at least one psychiatrist or clinical psychologist who (i) has performed forensic evaluations; (ii) has successfully completed forensic evaluation training recognized by the Commissioner of Behavioral Health and Developmental Services; (iii) has demonstrated to the Commissioner competence to perform forensic evaluations; and (iv) is included on a list of approved evaluators maintained by the Commissioner.

B. Location of evaluation. — The evaluation shall be performed on an outpatient basis at a mental health facility or in jail unless an outpatient evaluation has been conducted and the outpatient evaluator opines that a hospital-based evaluation is needed to reliably reach an opinion or unless the defendant is in the custody of the Commissioner of Behavioral Health and Developmental Services pursuant to § 19.2-169.2, 19.2-169.6, 19.2-182.2, 19.2-182.3, 19.2-182.8, 19.2-182.9, or Article 5 (§ 37.2-814 et seq.) of Chapter 8 of Title 37.2.

C. Provision of information to evaluators. — The court shall require the attorney for the Commonwealth to provide to the evaluators appointed under subsection A any information relevant to the evaluation, including, but not limited to (i) a copy of the warrant or indictment; (ii) the names and addresses of the attorney for the Commonwealth, the attorney for the defendant, and the judge ordering the evaluation; (iii) information about the alleged crime; and (iv) a summary of the reasons for the evaluation request. The court shall require the attorney for the defendant to provide any available psychiatric records and other information that is deemed relevant. The court shall require that information be provided to the evaluator within 96 hours of the issuance of the court order pursuant to this section.

D. The competency report. — Upon completion of the evaluation, the evaluators shall promptly submit a report in writing to the court and the attorneys of record concerning (i) the defendant's capacity to understand the proceedings against him; (ii) his ability to assist his attorney; and (iii) his need for treatment in the event he is found incompetent but restorable, or incompetent for the foreseeable future. If a need for restoration treatment is identified pursuant to clause (iii), the report shall state whether inpatient or outpatient treatment (community-based or jail-based) is recommended. Outpatient treatment may occur in a local correctional facility or at a location determined by the appropriate community services board or behavioral health authority. In cases where a defendant is likely to remain incompetent for the foreseeable future due to an ongoing and irreversible medical condition, and where prior medical or educational records are available to support the diagnosis, or if the defendant was previously determined to be unrestorably incompetent in the past two years, the report may recommend that the court find the defendant unrestorably incompetent to stand trial and the court may proceed with the disposition of the case in accordance with § 19.2-169.3. No statements of the defendant relating to the time period of the alleged offense shall be included in the report. The evaluator shall also send a redacted copy of the report removing references to the defendant's name, date of birth, case number, and court of jurisdiction to the Commissioner of Behavioral Health and Developmental Services for the purpose of (i) conducting peer review and (ii) monitoring use of forensic assessments by the courts and their impact on the defendants and the public. In transmitting, storing, and using the reports, the Department shall take appropriate precautions to prevent use or disclosure of identifiable information for any purposes other than those specified in this section or otherwise authorized by law. Any copies of

INTRODUCED

SB688

59 *the reports used* for the purpose of peer review to establish and maintain the list of approved evaluators
60 described in subsection A *shall be redacted by the Department in advance of disclosure by removing*
61 *references to the defendant's name, date of birth, case number, and court of jurisdiction.*

62 E. The competency determination. — After receiving the report described in subsection D, the court
63 shall promptly determine whether the defendant is competent to stand trial. A hearing on the defendant's
64 competency is not required unless one is requested by the attorney for the Commonwealth or the
65 attorney for the defendant, or unless the court has reasonable cause to believe the defendant will be
66 hospitalized under § 19.2-169.2. If a hearing is held, the party alleging that the defendant is incompetent
67 shall bear the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant's incompetency. The
68 defendant shall have the right to notice of the hearing, the right to counsel at the hearing and the right
69 to personally participate in and introduce evidence at the hearing.

70 The fact that the defendant claims to be unable to remember the time period surrounding the alleged
71 offense shall not, by itself, bar a finding of competency if the defendant otherwise understands the
72 charges against him and can assist in his defense. Nor shall the fact that the defendant is under the
73 influence of medication bar a finding of competency if the defendant is able to understand the charges
74 against him and assist in his defense while medicated.